Ī	Case 3:05-mc-05029-MJP Document 56 Filed 04/17/06 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7	AT SEATTLE
8	
9	RICHARD ROY SCOTT, ) No. MS05-5029
10	Plaintiff, ) v. ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
11	) RECONSIDERATION WEST DIAZ,
12	Defendant. )
13	)
14	This matter comes before the Court on Richard Roy Scott's "Motion for
15	reconsideration." Dkt. # 49. Motions for reconsideration are disfavored in this district and will
16	be granted only upon a "showing of manifest error in the prior ruling" or "new facts or legal
17	authority which could not have been brought to [the Court's] attention earlier without reasonable
18	diligence." Local Civil Rule 7(h)(1). Plaintiff has not met this burden.
19	In determining whether the proposed complaint filed on March 7, 2006 (Dkt. # 39)
20	complied with the April 5, 2005, order issued by the United States District Court judges who sit
21	in Tacoma, the Court carefully reviewed the docket in C04-5598RBL. The Court found that
22	plaintiff's attempt to withdraw his complaint in that matter was ineffective under Fed. R. Civ. P.
23	41(a) because defendant had already filed a motion to dismiss and plaintiff therefore needed an
24	order signed by the court to effectuate a dismissal. Judge Leighton did not sign such an order.
25	Rather, the case was dismissed pursuant to the April 5, 2005, Order Adopting Report and

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

26

## 

1	Recommendation as a sanction for plaintiff's litigation abuses. Plaintiff has not shown that the
2	Court's understanding of the record was incorrect or that newly discovered law or facts compel a
3	different result.
4	
5	For all of the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion for reconsideration and
6	sanctions is DENIED.
7	
8	DATED this 17th day of April, 2006.
9	
10	MMS Casnik  Robert S. Lasnik
11	Robert S. Lasnik Chief Judge, United States District Court
12	omer vaage, emied states Bistriet court
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26